



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,511	10/14/2003	Todd M. Steinmetz	GP-304140	3530

7590

11/29/2005

Leslie Hodges
General Motors Corporation
Mail Code: 482-C23-B21, Legal Staff
P.O. Box 300
Detroit, MI 48265-3000

EXAMINER

ARTHUR JEANGLAUDE, GERTRUDE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3661

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/686,511	Applicant(s) STEINMETZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	Art Unit 3661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 7-27 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt (U.S. Patent No. 5,931,757) in view of Fattic et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,637,987).

As to claim 1, Schmidt discloses a speed control for a multi-mode, electromechanical transmission including an input member coupled to a prime mover, at least one planetary gear set, at least one motor, at least one torque transfer device, a plurality of operating states and an output member (See abstract), Schmidt et al. fail to specifically disclose that the system comprises an open loop motor torque controller operative to control a preselected transmission speed to a target speed as a predetermined function of preselected transmission torques and accelerations. In an analogous art, Fattic et al. disclose an open loop motor torque controller operative to control a preselected transmission speed to a target speed as a predetermined function of preselected transmission torques and accelerations (See col. 6, lines 51-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Schmidt with that of Fattic et al. by having an open

Art Unit: 3661

loop motor torque controller operative to control a preselected transmission speed to a target speed as a predetermined function of preselected transmission .torques and accelerations in order to control the operation of the system.

As to claim 2, Schmidt et al. disclose all but fail to specifically disclose at least one closed loop effort operative to act upon a predetermined transmission speed error. In an analogous art, Fattic et al. disclose a closed loop effort operative to act upon a predetermined transmission speed error (See col. 6, lines 51-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Schmidt with that of Fattic et al. by having a closed loop effort operative to act upon a predetermined transmission speed error in order to control the operation of the System.

As to claims 3-6, Schmidt et al. disclose all but fail to specifically disclose the limitations of the claims as set forth. In an analogous art, Fattic et al. disclose a plurality of operating states includes a first state effective to operatively couple the input to the output through a first gear set (19), a second state effective to operatively couple the input to the output through a second gear set (21), a third state effective to operatively decouple the output from the transmission, and further wherein when one of said first and second states is operative the preselected transmission member torques comprise input member torque and output member torque, and the preselected transmission accelerations comprise input member acceleration and output member acceleration (See col 1, lines 30-62, col. 2, lines 20-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 3661

modify the system of Schmidt et al. with that of Fattic et al. by controlling transmission member speed the controlled external torques comprise motor torques', and a third state effective to operatively decouple the output from the transmission, and further wherein when one of said first and second states is operative the preselected transmission member torques comprise torque, and the input member torque and output member preselected transmission accelerations comprise input member acceleration and output member acceleration since it would allow the control of a generator torque to produce a reaction torque in order for accelerating the vehicle.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-27 are allowed.

The prior art fails to specifically disclose the limitations of claims 7, 12 as argued by applicant's representative response.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

REMARKS

Applicant's representative arguments at page 12 of 14 states that Schmidt merely discloses an electrically variable transmission and is completely silent with respect to any control thereof. However, Examiner points out at the beginning of the abstract that Schmidt discloses an electrically variable transmission (See first-second line of abstract;

Art Unit: 3661

electro-mechanical transmission). Furthermore, Schmidt discloses control and is not completely silent with respect to control; see for example at col. 4, lines 26-39 (control means). Schmidt also discloses a control unit 76 that configure the transmission (See col. 7, lines 45-50; col. 8, lines 15-50).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

GAJ

November 21, 2005

Gertrude A. Jeanglaude
GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUE
PRIMARY EXAMINER